UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,883	09/28/2001	John S. Hendricks	026880.00034	2102
4372 ARENT FOX	7590 02/06/2008 I.I.P		EXAMINER	
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			LANEAU, RONALD	
	SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3714	
•				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent\_Mail@arentfox.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/964,883	HENDRICKS, JOHN S.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ronald Laneau	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	1		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Set</li> <li>2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This</li> <li>3)  Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 10-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 10-29 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original transfer of the correction is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	, (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10112007.	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 3714

## Response to Amendment

1. Following the Appeal Brief Conference decision, prosecution of this application is hereby reopened.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 10-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark (US 5,383,112) in view of Boulton et al (US 4,985,697).

Clark discloses an inventory management which tracks the purchase orders and copies of media of video recorders wherein a video network including a video server operates several video recorders to simultaneously recording video performances or programs on a plurality of channels., portable scanners are used to associate an employee id, a media copy code, a location code and a date and time stamp together to track inventory and to provide accountabilities for the media copies (see abstract) a billing computer 26 seen in fig. 1 that can communicate with a viewer for providing electronic books for purchase and subscribers to continue to view the information downloaded as needed. Clark does not disclose tracking the purchase of an electronic book but Boulton discloses an electronic book educational publishing that can be use and tracked by the system of Clark.

09/964,883

Art Unit: 3714

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to utilize the purchase tracking system as taught by Clark into the system of Boulton

because it would automatically calculate need quantities of electronic books and dates at which

electronic books are needed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-29 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ronald Laneau whose telephone number is (571) 272-6784. The

examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

Application/Control Number:

09/964,883

Art Unit: 3714

Page 4

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ronald Laneau Primary Examiner Art Unit 3714

Ronald Janeau

1/31/08

rl